



If a conflict arises between a Clinical Payment and Coding Policy and any plan document under which a member is entitled to Covered Services, the plan document will govern. If a conflict arises between a CPCP and any provider contract pursuant to which a provider participates in and/or provides Covered Services to eligible member(s) and/or plans, the provider contract will govern. "Plan documents" include, but are not limited to, Certificates of Health Care Benefits, benefit booklets, Summary Plan Descriptions, and other coverage documents. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Oklahoma may use reasonable discretion interpreting and applying this policy to services being delivered in a particular case. BCBSOK has full and final discretionary authority for their interpretation and application to the extent provided under any applicable plan documents.

Providers are responsible for submission of accurate documentation of services performed. Providers are expected to submit claims for services rendered using valid code combinations from Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act approved code sets. Claims should be coded appropriately according to industry standard coding guidelines including, but not limited to: Uniform Billing Editor, American Medical Association, Current Procedural Terminology, CPT® Assistant, Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System, ICD-10 CM and PCS, National Drug Codes, Diagnosis Related Group guidelines, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services National Correct Coding Initiative Policy Manual, CCI table edits and other CMS guidelines.

Claims are subject to the code edit protocols for services/procedures billed. Claim submissions are subject to claim review including but not limited to, any terms of benefit coverage, provider contract language, medical policies, clinical payment and coding policies as well as coding software logic. Upon request, the provider is urged to submit any additional documentation.

Prostate Biopsy Specimen Analysis

Policy Number: CPCPLAB005

Version 1.0

Approval Date: October 30, 2024

Plan Effective Date: January 15, 2025

Description

BCBSOK has implemented certain lab management reimbursement criteria. Not all requirements apply to each product. Providers are urged to review Plan documents for eligible coverage for services rendered.

Reimbursement Information

1. In the initial diagnosis of prostate cancer as a follow-up to abnormal PSA results, presence of a palpable nodule on digital rectal examination, or suspicious radiologic findings, pathological examination of tissue obtained from a prostate biopsy involving 12 core extended sampling (See **NOTE 1** below) **may be reimbursable**.
2. When the clinical suspicion of prostate cancer remains in an individual for whom an initial biopsy was negative for prostate cancer, pathological examination of tissue from a follow-up prostate biopsy (excluding prostate saturation biopsy) **may be reimbursable**.
3. Pathological examination of tissue obtained from a prostate saturation biopsy or **are not reimbursable** for the diagnosis, staging and management of prostate cancer.

Note 1: One vial per sextant, with no more than two core samples per vial.

Procedure Codes

The following is not an all-encompassing code list. The inclusion of a code does not guarantee it is a covered service or eligible for reimbursement.

Codes
88305, G0416

References

- Akin, O., Woo, S., Oto, A., Allen, B. C., Avery, R., Barker, S. J., Gerena, M., Halpern, D. J., Gettle, L. M., & Rosenthal, S. A. (2023). ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Pretreatment Detection, Surveillance, and Staging of Prostate Cancer: 2022 Update. *Journal of the American College of Radiology*, 20(5), S187-S210. [https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.18.00606](https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69371/Narrative/Bekelman, J. E., Rumble, R. B., Chen, R. C., Pisansky, T. M., Finelli, A., Feifer, A., Nguyen, P. L., Loblaw, D. A., Tagawa, S. T., Gillissen, S., Morgan, T. M., Liu, G., Vapiwala, N., Haluschak, J. J., Stephenson, A., Touijer, K., Kungel, T., & Freedland, S. J. (2018). Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline Endorsement of an American Urological Association/American Society for Radiation Oncology/Society of Urologic Oncology Guideline. <i>Journal of Clinical Oncology</i>, 36(32), 3251-3258. <a href=)
- Bell, K. J., Del Mar, C., Wright, G., Dickinson, J., & Glasziou, P. (2015). Prevalence of incidental prostate cancer: A systematic review of autopsy studies. *Int J Cancer*, 137(7), 1749-1757. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29538>
- Benway, B., & Andriole, G. (2021). Prostate biopsy. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/prostate-biopsy>
- Bjurlin, M. A., Carroll, P. R., Eggener, S., Fulgham, P. F., Margolis, D. J., Pinto, P. A., Rosenkrantz, A. B., Rubenstein, J. N., Rukstalis, D. B., Taneja, S. S., & Turkbey, B. (2020). Update of the Standard Operating Procedure on the Use of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging for the Diagnosis, Staging and Management of Prostate Cancer. *J Urol*, 203(4), 706-712. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ju.0000000000000617>
- Brown, L. C., Ahmed, H. U., Faria, R., El-Shater Bosaily, A., Gabe, R., Kaplan, R. S., Parmar, M., Collaco-Moraes, Y., Ward, K., Hindley, R. G., Freeman, A., Kirkham, A., Oldroyd, R., Parker, C., Bott, S., Burns-Cox, N., Dudderidge, T., Ghei, M., Henderson, A., . . . Emberton, M. (2018). Multiparametric MRI to improve detection of prostate cancer compared with

- transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy alone: the PROMIS study. *Health Technol Assess*, 22(39), 1-176. <https://doi.org/10.3310/hta22390>
- Coakley, F. V., Oto, A., Alexander, L. F., Allen, B. C., Davis, B. J., Froemming, A. T., Fulgham, P. F., Hosseinzadeh, K., Porter, C., Sahni, V. A., Schuster, D. M., Showalter, T. N., Venkatesan, A. M., Verma, S., Wang, C. L., Remer, E. M., & Eberhardt, S. C. (2017). ACR Appropriateness Criteria((R)) Prostate Cancer-Pretreatment Detection, Surveillance, and Staging. *J Am Coll Radiol*, 14(5s), S245-s257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2017.02.026>
- EAU. (2024). Prostate Cancer. https://d56bochluxqnz.cloudfront.net/documents/full-guideline/EAU-EANM-ESTRO-ESUR-ISUP-SIOG-Guidelines-on-Prostate-Cancer-2024_2024-04-09-132035_ypmy_2024-04-16-122605_lqpk.pdf
- Eichler, K., Hempel, S., Wilby, J., Myers, L., Bachmann, L. M., & Kleijnen, J. (2006). Diagnostic value of systematic biopsy methods in the investigation of prostate cancer: a systematic review. *J Urol*, 175(5), 1605-1612. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-5347\(05\)00957-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-5347(05)00957-2)
- Gosselaar, C., Roobol, M. J., Roemeling, S., Wolters, T., van Leenders, G. J., & Schroder, F. H. (2008). The value of an additional hypoechoic lesion-directed biopsy core for detecting prostate cancer. *BJU Int*, 101(6), 685-690. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2007.07309.x>
- Hodge, K. K., McNeal, J. E., Terris, M. K., & Stamey, T. A. (1989). Random systematic versus directed ultrasound guided transrectal core biopsies of the prostate. *J Urol*, 142(1), 71-74; discussion 74-75.
- Hoffman, R., ., & Preston, M. (2024, June 3, 2024). Screening for prostate cancer. https://www.uptodate.com/contents/screening-for-prostate-cancer?search=prostate%20cancer&source=search_result&selectedTitle=6~150&usage_type=default&display_rank=5
- Klotz, L., Chin, J., Black, P. C., Finelli, A., Anidjar, M., Bladou, F., Mercado, A., Levental, M., Ghai, S., Chang, S. D., Milot, L., Patel, C., Kassam, Z., Moore, C., Kasivisvanathan, V., Loblaw, A., Kebabdjian, M., Earle, C. C., Pond, G. R., & Haider, M. A. (2021). Comparison of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Targeted Biopsy With Systematic Transrectal Ultrasonography Biopsy for Biopsy-Naive Men at Risk for Prostate Cancer: A Phase 3 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Oncol*, 7(4), 534-542. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2020.7589>
- Lokeshwar, S. D., Nguyen, J., Rahman, S. N., Khajir, G., Ho, R., Ghabili, K., Leapman, M. S., Weinreb, J. C., & Sprenkle, P. C. (2022). Clinical utility of MR/ultrasound fusion-guided biopsy in patients with lower suspicion lesions on active surveillance for low-risk prostate cancer. *Urol Oncol*, 40(9), 407.e421-407.e427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2022.06.005>
- NCCN. (2024a, May 17, 2024). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology; Prostate Cancer. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf
- NCCN. (2024b, March 6, 2024). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology; Prostate Cancer Early Detection. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate_detection.pdf
- Parker, C., Castro, E., Fizazi, K., Heidenreich, A., Ost, P., Procopio, G., Tombal, B., & Gillessen, S. (2020). Prostate Cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Annals of Oncology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2020.06.011>
- Pepe, P., & Aragona, F. (2007). Saturation prostate needle biopsy and prostate cancer detection at initial and repeat evaluation. *Urology*, 70(6), 1131-1135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2007.07.068>
- Pepe, P., Garufi, A., Priolo, G. D., Galia, A., Frassetta, F., & Pennisi, M. (2018). Is it Time to Perform Only Magnetic Resonance Imaging Targeted Cores? Our Experience with 1,032 Men Who Underwent Prostate Biopsy. *J Urol*, 200(4), 774-778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2018.04.061>

- Pepe, P., Pennisi, M., & Fraggetta, F. (2020). How Many Cores Should be Obtained During Saturation Biopsy in the Era of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance? Experience in 875 Patients Submitted to Repeat Prostate Biopsy. *Urology*, *137*, 133-137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2019.11.016>
- Samir, S., Bjurlin, D. H., Carter, M., & S. Cookson, M., MMHC, Leonard G. Gomella, MD, FACS, David F. Penson, MD, MPH, Paul Schellhammer, MD, Steven Schlossberg MD, MBA, Dean Troyer, MD. (2015). *American Urological Association - Optimal Techniques of Prostate Biopsy and Specimen Handling* [https://www.auanet.org/guidelines-and-quality/quality-and-measurement/quality-improvement/clinical-consensus-statement-and-quality-improvement-issue-brief-\(ccs-and-qiib\)/prostate-biopsy-and-specimen-handling](https://www.auanet.org/guidelines-and-quality/quality-and-measurement/quality-improvement/clinical-consensus-statement-and-quality-improvement-issue-brief-(ccs-and-qiib)/prostate-biopsy-and-specimen-handling)
- Sanda, M. G., Cadeddu, J. A., Kirkby, E., Chen, R. C., Crispino, T., Fontanarosa, J., Freedland, S. J., Greene, K., Klotz, L. H., Makarov, D. V., Nelson, J. B., Rodrigues, G., Sandler, H. M., Taplin, M. E., & Treadwell, J. R. (2018). Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer: AUA/ASTRO/SUO Guideline. Part II: Recommended Approaches and Details of Specific Care Options. *J Urol*, *199*(4), 990-997. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2018.01.002>
- Sidana, A., Watson, M. J., George, A. K., Rastinehad, A. R., Vourganti, S., Rais-Bahrami, S., Muthigi, A., Maruf, M., Gordetsky, J. B., Nix, J. W., Merino, M. J., Turkbey, B., Choyke, P. L., Wood, B. J., & Pinto, P. A. (2018). Fusion prostate biopsy outperforms 12-core systematic prostate biopsy in patients with prior negative systematic biopsy: A multi-institutional analysis. *Urol Oncol*, *36*(7), 341.e341-341.e347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2018.04.002>
- Smith, R. A., Andrews, K. S., Brooks, D., Fedewa, S. A., Manassaram-Baptiste, D., Saslow, D., & Wender, R. C. (2019). Cancer screening in the United States, 2019: A review of current American Cancer Society guidelines and current issues in cancer screening. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, *69*(3), 184-210. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21557>
- Society, A. C. (2024, January 19, 2024). Key Statistics for Prostate Cancer. <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/types/prostate-cancer/about/key-statistics.html>
- Taplin, M.-E., & Smith, J. (2024, March 22, 2024). *Clinical presentation and diagnosis of prostate cancer - UpToDate*. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/clinical-presentation-and-diagnosis-of-prostate-cancer>
- Thompson, J. E., Hayen, A., Landau, A., Haynes, A. M., Kalapara, A., Ischia, J., Matthews, J., Frydenberg, M., & Stricker, P. D. (2015). Medium-term oncological outcomes for extended vs saturation biopsy and transrectal vs transperineal biopsy in active surveillance for prostate cancer. *BJU Int*, *115*(6), 884-891. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bju.12858>
- USPSTF. (2018). Screening for prostate cancer: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA*, *319*(18), 1901-1913. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.3710>
- Wei, J. T., Barocas, D., Carlsson, S., Coakley, F., Eggener, S., Etzioni, R., Fine, S. W., Han, M., Kim, S. K., Kirkby, E., Konety, B. R., Miner, M., Moses, K., Nissenberg, M. G., Pinto, P. A., Salami, S. S., Souter, L., Thompson, I. M., & Lin, D. W. (2023). Early Detection of Prostate Cancer: AUA/SUO Guideline Part II: Considerations for a Prostate Biopsy. *J Urol*, *101097ju00000000000003492*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ju.00000000000003492>
- Wolf, A. M., Wender, R. C., Etzioni, R. B., Thompson, I. M., D'Amico, A. V., Volk, R. J., Brooks, D. D., Dash, C., Guessous, I., Andrews, K., DeSantis, C., & Smith, R. A. (2010). American Cancer Society guideline for the early detection of prostate cancer: update 2010. *CA Cancer J Clin*, *60*(2), 70-98. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.20066>
- Zaytoun, O. M., Moussa, A. S., Gao, T., Fareed, K., & Jones, J. S. (2011). Office based transrectal saturation biopsy improves prostate cancer detection compared to extended biopsy in the repeat biopsy population. *J Urol*, *186*(3), 850-854. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2011.04.069>

Policy Update History

Approval Date	Effective Date; Summary of Changes
10/30/2024	01/15/2025: Document updated with literature review. Reimbursement Information unchanged. References updated; some added, others revised.
02/01/2024	02/01/2024: Document updated with literature review. The following changes were made to Reimbursement information: Added "pathological examination of tissue obtained from a..." to all criteria. Removed 3D mapping from #1 and #3; removed codes 0443T, 55700, 55706. Title changed from Prostate Biopsies. References revised.
11/01/2023	11/01/2023: Document updated with literature review. Reimbursement statement 1 edited for clarity. Added #2: When the clinical suspicion of prostate cancer remains in an individual for whom an initial biopsy was negative for prostate cancer, follow-up biopsy (excluding prostate saturation biopsy) may be reimbursable. References revised.
11/1/2022	11/01/2022: New policy